

Delivering The Ideas

Comments for New Legal Scholars
by Ron Wright

AALS New Teachers' Conference
26-28 June, 2003
Washington, D.C.

INTRODUCTION

In the talk preceding this one, Marion Crane covers the motivations for writing, selection of topic, selection of audience, types of scholarship, and a bit about the process of writing. Her emphasis is on getting ideas and choosing among them. My task is to focus more on the nuts and bolts of *delivering* the ideas: getting them out of your brain, into a publication and out into the world.

1. Managing the calendar.

- Yes, there are deadlines (or if you prefer, target dates). Aim for either the Spring or the late Summer “Sweeps Weeks” at law reviews.
- As you arrive on the job and establish your habits, build in some discipline about writing time. There are different models for distributing the hours, but you must log lots of hours for writing.

2. Shifting from reader to writer (and back again).

- You can't read everything first and only then start to write; that's paralysis. Read on the general topic until you start to see repetition.
- After you've begun writing, the research should happen without stopping your momentum.

3. Keeping your idea trim, and what to do with the out-takes.

- Articles early in the career tend to be too long.
- What's trimmed out is rarely lost; it will be published elsewhere later.
- When it comes to High Theory, hint in Round 1 and elaborate in Rounds 2-10.

4. Working with research assistants.

- Research assistants help you more when they get involved later in the writing process.
- Hire research assistants as much for their sake as for yours.

5. Knowing when the piece is done; asking for help.

- Even if it stinks, it's done (for some purposes).
- The piece is ready to submit for friendly advice before it is ready to submit to journals.
- Advice from unknown and less friendly sources comes next.
- The piece is ready to submit to journals before it is ready to publish.

6. Targeting journals for initial submissions.

- Placement quality counts, but it is not the only (or best) measure of quality.
- For every article, develop a ranking of journals to serve your purposes.
- Plan for multiple submissions, possibly in waves.
- The article's title and a punchy cover letter should help an editor convince her peers.

7. Negotiating with journals; the final choice.

- Use your waiting period to good advantage.
- Collect all the vital statistics about the terms of the offer.
- Use an initial offer to spur other journals into action.

8. Working with student and peer editors.

- As author, you are still teaching the editors.
- Make sure you can track the changes.
- Page-proof shock is normal.

9. Spreading copies, electronic and reprints.

- Consider the journal as one among many outlets for your work.
- Post electronic copies, both on the school's web page and on research services like SSRN.
- Scatter reprints generously, both internally and externally.
- Listen while you spread the good news.

10. Reflecting on your work.

- Look for chances to rework your ideas.
- Look for ways to build a coherent body of ideas.
- Welcome to the Growing Writer's Guild!

REFERENCES

LAW REVIEW RANKINGS

Institute for Scientific Information, *Journal Citation Reports*, at <http://www.isinet.com/isi/products/citation/jcr/> (2003).

Tracey George & Chris Guthrie, *An Empirical Evaluation of Specialized Law Reviews*, 26 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 813 (1999) .

Gregory Scott Crespi, *Ranking the Environmental Law, Natural Resources Law, and Land Use Planning Journals: A Survey of Expert Opinion*, 23 WM. & MARY ENVTL. L. & POL'Y REV. 273 (1998).

Gregory Scott Crespi, *Ranking International and Comparative Law Journals: A Survey of Expert Opinion*, 31 INT'L LAW. 869 (1997).

Robert M. Jarvis & Phyllis G. Coleman, *Ranking Law Reviews: An Empirical Analysis Based On Author-Prominence*, 39 ARIZ. L. REV. 15, 16 & 17 (1997).

Fred R. Shapiro, *The Most-Cited Law Review Articles Revisited*, 71 CHI.- KENT L. REV. 751 (1996) .

Response, William M. Landes & Richard A. Posner, *Heavily Cited Articles in Law*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 825 (1996) .

Reply, Fred R. Shapiro, *Response to Landes and Posner*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 841 (1996) .

Colleen M. Cullen & S. Randall Kalberg, *Chicago-Kent Law Review Faculty Scholarship Survey*, 70 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 1445 (1995).

Louis J. Sirico, Jr. & Beth A. Drew, *The Citing of Law Reviews by the United States Courts of Appeals: An Empirical Analysis*, 45 U. MIAMI L. REV. 1051 (1991) .

Louis J. Sirico, Jr. & Jeffrey B. Margulies, *The Citing of Law Reviews by the Supreme Court: An Empirical Study*, 34 UCLA L. REV. 131 (1985) .

GENERAL ARTICLES ON LEGAL SCHOLARSHIP AND LAW REVIEWS

Ross E. Davies, *The Most Important Article of All Time*, 5 GREEN BAG 2D 351 (2002).

Interpreting Legal Citations, 29 J. LEGAL STUD. 317 (2000).

Michael D. McClintock, *The Declining Use of Legal Scholarship by Courts: An Empirical Study*, 51 OKLA. L. REV. 659 (1998).

Mary Beth Beazley & Linda H. Edwards, *The Process & The Product: A Bibliography of Scholarship about Legal Scholarship*, 40 MERCER L. REV. 471 (1998).

Lawrence Friedman, *Law Reviews and Legal Scholarship: Some Comments*, 75 DENVER U. L. REV. 661, 662 (1998).

Jim Chen, *Untenured But Unrepentant*, 81 IOWA L. REV. 1609 (1996).

Michael L. Closen & Robert J. Dzielak, *The History and Influence of the Law Review Institution*, 30 AKRON L. REV. 15, 24 (1996) .

Deborah J. Merritt & Melanie Putnam, *Judges and Scholars: Do Courts and Scholarly Journals Cite the Same Law Review Articles?*, 71 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 871, 872 (1996).

Arthur Austin, *The Top Ten Politically Correct Law Reviews*, 1994 UTAH L. REV. 1319 (1994).

Steven Bradford, *As I Lay Writing: How to Write Law Review Articles for Fun and Profit*, 44 J. LEGAL EDUC. 13 (1994) .

Wendy Gordon, *Counter-Manifesto: Student-Edited Reviews and the Intellectual Properties of Scholarship*, 61 U. CHI. L. REV. 541 (1994).

Arthur Austin, *The Reliability of Citation Counts in Judgments on Promotion, Tenure, and Status*, 35 ARIZ. L. REV. 829 (1993) .

Jordan H. Leibman & James P. White, *How the Student-Edited Law Journals Make Their Publication Decisions*, 39 J. LEGAL EDUC. 387 (1989).

BOOKS ON WRITING

STEPHEN KING, *WRITING: A MEMOIR OF THE CRAFT* (2000)

WILLIAM KNOWLTON ZINSSER, *ON WRITING WELL: THE CLASSIC GUIDE TO WRITING NONFICTION* (6th ed. 1998)

ANNE LAMOTT, *BIRD BY BIRD: SOME INSTRUCTIONS ON WRITING AND LIFE* (1995)

Thanks to Cheryl Hanna, Marc Miller, and Hiroshi Motomura for sharing with me their ideas on this topic.